competently thereto.

3. The above-referenced document was served in response to the following request, which Defendant served on the EEOC on January 14, 2008, immediately after being served with Stege's Motion to Intervene (January 12 and 13 were weekend days):

1.

EDWARDS DECL. ISO DEF'S SUPP. TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO INTERVENE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

All non-privileged documents (except for those already produced by the EEOC in response to Defendant's Request for Production of Documents, Set One) reflecting the EEOC's correspondence with Charging Party Janet Stege, including, but not limited to, correspondence regarding the EEOC's determination, on or around June 9, 2005, that efforts to conciliate with Ms. Stege's employer had been unsuccessful.

These documents and the attached supplemental brief are filed with the Court pursuant to the Order Continuing Hearing Date, signed by this Court on February 19, 2008 and the Stipulation to Continue Hearing Date between Defendant, the EEOC, and Stege. In this stipulation, filed on February 15, 2008, Defendant agreed to a continuance of the hearing date (previously set for March 4, 2008) and Stege agreed to allow Defendant to supplement the record with the abovereferenced document (Exhibit A).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this *loth* day of March, 2008 at San Francisco, California.

Firmwide: 84314886.1 053676.1002

27

28

EXHIBIT A



U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION San Francisco District Office 350 Th

350 The Embarcadero, Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 625-5600 TTY (415) 625-5610 FAX (415) 625-5609

June 9, 2005

Ms. Janet Stege 321 San Jose Ave. Millbrae, CA 94030

Re: Janet Stege vs. Georgia Pacific Corporation Charge Number 370-2005-00421

Dear Ms. Stege:

The Commission has determined that efforts to conciliate the above-referenced case have been unsuccessful. Accordingly, the case has been transferred to the Regional Attorney, San Francisco District Office, for review to determine whether the Commission will bring a civil action in Federal District Court based on the charge of discrimination. A determination should be forthcoming in the near future.

If the Commission decides to bring a civil suit, you have the right to intervene in such an action. If the Commission decides that it will not bring a civil action based on your charge, you will be notified and sent a Notice of Right to Sue, which will entitle you to sue the Respondent in Federal District Court.

If you have any questions regarding your case, please contact William Tamayo, Regional Attorney, at (415) 625-5645.

H. Joan Ehrlich District Director

On behalf of the Commission: